

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,306	01/27/2004	Jan-Willem Pieterse	NFI-106/US	9348	
7590 10/06/2005			EXAMINER		
Lumen Intellectual Property Services, Inc.			ALLEN, ST	ALLEN, STEPHONE B	
2nd Floor 2345 Yale			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Palo Alto, CA 94306			2878		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AK	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,306	PIETERSE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
<u> </u>	Stephone B. Allen	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to te, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION.  le timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	•		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ol>			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		eived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure		oived	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies flot rec	eiveu.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	5\ \prec \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/766,306

Art Unit: 2878

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 10, 12, 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,798,521 to Froggatt.

Froggat discloses an apparatus for determining a physical parameter (strain) affecting an optical sensor (fiber bragg grating) comprising a tunable laser 22 emitting light of a narrow linewidth at emission; means 12, 20 for varying emission; an optical path guiding the light to the optical sensor; a detector 16, 18 generates a response signal to the light; and an analysis module 12, 14 for matching the response signal.

With respect to claims 12, 13 and 21, the method of determining the physical parameter is inherent to the apparatus as claimed above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 8, 9, 11, 14-17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Froggat.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,306

Art Unit: 2878

With respect to claims 3, 8, 9, and 14-17, Froggat is silent as to the type tunable laser claimed the manner in which it is operated. However, the exact laser claimed would have have been an obvious design modification, since they are considered functionally equivalent.

With respect to claims 11 and 22, Froggat fails to disclose the inclusion of a tap for tapping light and a wavelength meter. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system to include such an arrangement as a means on monitoring and controlling the intensity of the light emitted by the laser to enhance accuracy detection in the system.

Claims 4 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Froggat in view of the publication "an active demodulation system for multiplexed FBG sensors" to Guan et al.

Froggatt fails to disclose whereby the analysis module that performs the matching is performs curve fitting. Guan discloses the use of curve fitting as a means of determining the parameter determined. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate curve fitting as claimed in Guan into Froggat in order to obtain a higher resolution.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephone B. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-2434. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 08:30-5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2878

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephone B Allen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2878

sba